

## REFERENCES

- Asiala M., Brown, A., Dubinsky, E., DeVries, D., Mathews, D., & Thomas K. (1996). A framework for research and curriculum development in undergraduate mathematics education. *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education II, Issues in Mathematics Education* (CBMS).
- Breidenbach, *et al.*, (1992). Development of the Process Conception of Function. *Educational Studies in Mathematics* 23, 247-285,.
- Brown, M. W., & Edelson, D. C. (2003). *Teaching as design: Can we better understand the ways in which teachers use materials so we can better design materials to support their changes in practice?* Center for Learning Technologies in Urban Schools, Northwestern University.
- Camilli, G. (2003). Comment on Cizek's More unintended consequences of high-stakes testing. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(1), 36–39.
- Carlson, M. (1998). A cross-sectional investigation of the development of the function concept. *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education III, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Issues in Mathematics Education*, 7, (pp. 114-163) American Mathematical Society.
- Cazden, C., Conner, S., Davis, R.G., Delpit, L., Edwards, E., and Irvine, J.J. (1995). *The Algebra Project in Mississippi: An evaluation report*. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.
- Cizek, G. J. (2001). More unintended consequences of high-stakes testing. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 20(4), 19-27.
- Clements, D. H. (2007). Curriculum research: Toward a framework for “research-based” curricula. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 38 (1), 35-70.
- Currell, M. & West, M.M. (2003). Algebra for all: A 10-year study of a successful middle school. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Bransford J. (Eds). (2005). *Preparing teachers for a changing world: What teachers should learn and be able to do*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
- Davis, F. E., & West, M. M. (2000). *The impact of the Algebra Project on mathematics achievement*. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.
- Davis, F.E., West, M.M., Greeno, J.G., Gresalfi, M. & Martin, H.T. (2006). Transactions of mathematical knowledge in the Algebra Project. In N. S. Nasir & P. Cobb (Eds.), *Improving access to mathematics: Diversity and equity in the classroom* (pp. 69-88). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Delpit, L. (1997). *Other people's children*. New York: New Press, Inc.
- Even, R. (1993). Subject-matter knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge: Prospective secondary teachers and the function concept. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*.
- Even, R., & Tirosh, D. (2002). Teacher knowledge and understanding student learning. In L. D. English (Ed.), *Handbook of International Research in Mathematics Education*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Earlbaum Assoc.
- Fennema, E., & Franke, M. L. (1992). Teachers' knowledge and its impact. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *The handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 147-164). NY: McMillan.

- Guskey, T. R. (2007). Multiple sources of evidence: An analysis of stakeholders' perceptions of various indicators of student learning. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 26(1), 19-27.
- Hiebert, J. (2003). What research says about the NCTM Standards. In J. Kilpatrick, W.G. Martin, & D. Schifter (Eds.), *A Research Companion to Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*, (pp. 5-23). Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Hill, H.C., Rowan, B. & Ball, D. L. (2005). Effects of teachers' mathematical knowledge for teaching on student achievement. *American Educational Research Journal*, 42 (2), 371-406.
- Hoban, G.A. (2002). *Teacher learning for educational change: A systems thinking approach*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.
- Johnson, R.B., & Onwuegbuzie, A.J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Lane, S., & Stone, C. A. (2002). Strategies for examining the consequences of assessment and accountability programs. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 21(1), 23-30.
- Loucks-Horsley, S., Love, N., Stiles, K.E., Mundry, S., & Hewson, P.W.(2003). *Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Ma, L. (1999). *Knowing and teaching elementary mathematics*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc.
- Mehrens, W. A. (2002). Consequences of assessment: What is the evidence? In G. Tindal & T. M. Haladyna (Eds.), *Large-scale assessment programs for all students: Validity, technical adequacy, and implementation* (pp. 149-180), Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Moses, R.P. & Cobb, C.E. (2001). *Radical equations: Math literacy and civil rights*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Mewborn, D. (2003). Teaching, teachers' knowledge, and their professional development. In J. Kilpatrick, W.G. Martin, & D. Schifter (Eds.), *A Research Companion to Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*, (pp. 45-52). Reston, VA: NCTM.
- Nasir, N. S., & Cobb, P. (Eds.) (2007). *Equity in students' access to significant mathematical ideas*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.
- National Research Council (2001). *Knowing what students know: The science and design of educational assessment*. Committee on the Foundations of Assessment. Pelligrino, J.W., Chudowsky, N., & Glazer, R. (Eds.) Board on Testing and Assessment, Center for Education. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- National Research Council (2004). *On evaluating curricular effectiveness: Judging the quality of K-12 mathematics evaluations*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation: The new century text*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M.Q. & Patrizi, P. (Eds.) (2005) Teaching evaluation using the case method. *New Directions for Evaluation* ( ).

- PBS (2007). "Robert Moses & The Algebra Project" (July 15, 2007). *NOW with David Brancaccio*. New York: Public Broadcasting.
- Perry T., Steele, C., & Hilliard III, A. (2003), *Young gifted and black: Promoting high achievement among African-American students*. Boston: Beacon Press
- Piaget, J. *The Science of Education and the Psychology of the Child*. NY: Grossman, 1970.
- Raggozino, K. et al. (2003) Promoting academic performance through social and emotional learning. *Educational HORIZONS*, vol. 8.
- Remillard, J. T. & Bryans, M. B. (2004). Teachers' orientations toward mathematics curriculum materials: Implications for Teacher Learning. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 35, 352-388.
- Rich, W. (2003). Historical high-stakes policies relating to unintended consequences of high-stakes testing. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 22(1), 33-35.
- Smith, M. L. & Rottenberg, C. (1991). Unintended consequences of external testing in elementary schools. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 10(4), 7-11.
- Sowder, J. T., Phillip, R. A., Armstrong, B. E. & Shappelle, B. P. (1998). *Middle-grade teachers' mathematical knowledge and its relationship to instruction: A research monograph*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Steele, C. M. (1997), A threat in the air: How stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance. *American Psychologist*, 52, 613-619.
- Swafford, J. O., Jones, G. A., & Thornton, C. A. (1997). Increased knowledge in geometry and instructional practice. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28, 467-483.
- Thompson, A. G. (1992). Teachers' beliefs and conceptions: A synthesis of the research. In D. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*. New York: MacMillan.
- Weller, K., Clark, J., Dubinsky, E., Loch, S., McDonald, M., & Merkovsky, R. (2003). Student performance and attitudes in courses based on APOS theory and the ACE teaching cycle. In A. Selden, E. Dubinsky, G. Harel, & F. Hitt (Eds.), *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education V* (pp. 97-131). Providence: American Mathematical Society.
- West, M. M., & Davis, F. E. (2004). *The Algebra Project at Lanier High School, Jackson, MS*. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.
- West, M.M., & Davis, F. E. (2005). Research related to the Algebra Project's intervention to improve student learning in mathematics. Report to State of Virginia Dept. of Education. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.
- West, M. M., & Davis, F. E. (2006). *The Algebra Project's high school initiative: An evaluation of the first steps*. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.
- West, M.M., Conner, S.B., Bland, C., & McGee, T. (1995). Changing middle school teaching: The development of Algebra Project teachers in the Mississippi Delta. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
- West, M. M., Davis, F. E., Lynch, M., & Atlas, T. (1998). *The Algebra Project's middle school intervention in 1997-98*. Cambridge, MA: Program Evaluation & Research Group, Lesley University.

- Wood, D. R. (2007). Professional learning communities: Teachers, knowledge, and knowing. *Theory Into Practice*, 46 (4), 281-290.
- Wynne, J. (2003). The elephant in the classroom: Racism in school reform. *International Perspectives on Methods of Improving Education: Focusing on the Quality of Diversity*, edited by R. Duhon-Sells, New York: The Edwin Mellon Press.
- Zins, J. E. et al (2004) *Building academic success on social and emotional learning: What does the research say?* New York: Teachers College Press.